

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/954,911	(09/18/2001	Arun B. Kulkarni	J&J-2049	8616
27777	7590	06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S		= :	LAMM, MARINA		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003				1616	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/954,911	KULKARNI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Marina Lamm	1616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/1	<u>6/05</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-9 and 13-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-9 and 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the I							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	` '	_						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/954,911 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/16/05 has been entered.
- 2. Claims pending are 1, 3-9 and 13-17. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended. Claim 17 is new.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yanagida et al. (US 5,484,816), of record.

Yanagida et al. teach skin treatment compositions containing active agents such as vitamin A, clays such as hectolite and saponite, glycerol, propylene glycol, ethanol, water and acids and/or salts such as citric acid, ascorbic acid, sodium edetate and sodium isoascorbate. See col. 9, lines 9-47; col. 46, Examples 14-2, 14-8. In particular, Example 14-2 (in Table 14-1) anticipates the composition of the instant claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 13, 15 and 16 because it contains water, 10% of glycerol, 5% of ethanol, 0.03% of

Application/Control Number: 09/954,911

Art Unit: 1616

trisodium edetate, 2% of clay gelling agent (natural montmorillonite) and 0.3% of active agent (vitamin A). Example 14-8 anticipates the composition of the instant claims 1 and 9 as it contains water, glycerol, vitamin A, 0.01% of ascorbic acid, 0.01% of disodium edetate and 0.01% of sodium isoascorbate.

Thus, Yanagida et al. teach each and every limitation of Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 13, 15 and 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 4, 7, 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagida et al. (US 5,484,816).

Yanagida et al. applied as above. The reference does not exemplify the amount of clay as claimed in Claim 4. However, the reference teaches that the amount of clay may be as little as 0.01%, preferably 0.1%, and not exceeding 50% by weight, depending on the desired gelling effect. See col. 9, lines 38-47. It is the Examiner's opinion that the determination of optimal or workable amount of clay within the reference's generic disclosure by routine experimentation is obvious and within the skill of the art. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain the desired gelling properties of the composition. With respect to Claims 7 and 8, Examples 14-2, 14-8 of Yanagida et al. contain less water-miscible solvent than claimed in the instant claims. However, other examples in the reference contain as

Art Unit: 1616

much as 30% of glycerol. See Example 9-4. Therefore, the determination of optimal or workable amount of glycerol by routine experimentation is obvious and within the skill of the art. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain the desired moisturizing properties of the composition. With respect to Claim 17, citric acid is generally taught as suitable stabilizer and exemplified in Example 14-11. See col. 2, lines 17-36. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use citric acid instead of trisodium edetate in Example 14-2 of Yanagida et al. with a reasonable expectation of obtaining the same stabilizing effect because the reference teaches that these compounds are used for the same purpose.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagida et al. in view of Kamishita (US 4,543,251), supplied by the Applicant.

Yanagida et al. applied as above. While teaching antioxidants and other skin care active agents, the reference does not teach menthol or camphor of the instant claims. However, Kamishita teaches using menthol in topical compositions to "impart a cool feeling to the skin." See col. 3, lines 40-45. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the topical composition of Yanagida et al. such that to add menthol. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain formulations that impart a cool feeling to the skin as suggested by Kamishita.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,911 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Yanagida et al. reference as applied to the amended claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the reference "only teaches compositions that include water-swellable clays and various acids and salts that are present in a concentration much higher than the Applicant's claimed range." (emphasis in original). In response, it is noted that Examples 14-2 and 14-8 teach the compositions containing the amounts of water-swellable clays and various acids and salts within the claimed range as discussed above. In addition, it is noted that stabilizing acids and salts can be present in the compositions of Yanagida et al. in amounts as low as 0.001%. See col. 2, lines 27-36.

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "physically stable shear thinning gel" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

9. No claim is allowed at this time.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,911 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0618. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 11am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).